

For Immediate Release:

Contact: John Walter, President  
True Mirror Company, Inc.  
888-230-2156, [jwalter@truemirror.com](mailto:jwalter@truemirror.com)

## **SIDE HAIR PARTS AFFECTING PRESIDENTIAL POLITICS AGAIN ACCORDING TO THE HAIR PART THEORY©**

New York, NY, July 26, 2004: The unusual, yet surprisingly accurate Hair Part Theory<sup>©1</sup>, discovered by John Walter and refined by his sister Catherine Walter, states that side hair parts affect personalities and perceptions. It proves to be a good predictor of behaviors in culture and politics, and even though at first it may sound silly and inconsequential, could be a major reason for our extreme cultural polarization. The current Presidential race offers clear examples of the types that each side part creates, and it is easy to see how it is affecting voter perceptions and choices (see Appendix I). In addition to the political world, both media and most corporations are dominated by side-parters, with the left, logical side being the most prevalent.

There is little or no representation of the more neutral hair choice – the middle or no-part. These neutral hair styles create individuals that tend to be more balanced and in harmony with their surroundings, but as it turns out, you rarely see them in the public eye. This group is at least 50% of all people – if their voices were heard, then maybe our society would discover ways to more inclusive and balanced.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Hair Part Theory (<http://www.truemirror.com/hpttmc.pdf>), ©1999 by John and Catherine Walter, was developed by a brother-sister team trained, respectively, in nuclear physics and cultural anthropology. Their revolutionary theory is provided for all individuals to have more control over automatic and mostly unconscious assessments made of their personalities by others. In addition, they also developed and produce the True Mirror®, a mirror that does not reverse the viewer's image. This new mirror reflects images correctly and is especially significant with side hair parts. The flat mirror leads to large differences between self and public perceptions.

\* Hair Part Theory©2004, by John Walter.

## **The Hair Part Theory states:**

The way a person parts his or her hair is related to many subconscious associations when assessed by others. Each hair part type initiates cycles of behavior toward, and response from, the individual. Over time, these cycles affect personality development, perpetuating a system of “cumulative and interactional continuity”<sup>2</sup>. Parting the hair on the left or right initiates, in viewers of the individual, subconscious associations with the aspects of cognition generally ascribed to the same cranial hemisphere that is accented by the hair part (i.e. left part, left hemisphere). When there is a center part, no part or baldness, the subconscious associations are more balanced or neutral, with neither cranial hemisphere’s activities given more importance in the assessment.

The tendencies pointed out by the Hair Part Theory are not necessary nor sufficient conditions for the perceptions and behaviors cited. It is simply a bias towards that kind of type. Our recent understanding of left and right brain differentiation is still incomplete, and absolute statements are to be avoided. This and the myriad of other factors that produce individuals and behaviors will always produce exceptions to the rule. However, the theory still appears valid a surprisingly high percentage of the time, showing clear influences on many individuals.

The Hair Part Theory was used to explain a key reason that Al Gore lost the election in 2000 (his right hair part did not portray a sense of power, plus, as is often the case with men with right parts, he “comes across” in an unusual way. The voting public was, and still is, not comfortable with him)<sup>3</sup>. A right hair part negatively affected Jimmy Carter, contributing to a very difficult relationship to Congress. Surprisingly, 6 weeks after Mr. Walter wrote about it to him in 1979,

---

<sup>2</sup> Interactional continuity: Behavior patterns can be sustained across the life course by two kinds of person-environment interaction. *Cumulative continuity* arises when an individual's interactional style channels him or her into environments that themselves reinforce that style, thereby sustaining the behavior pattern across the life course through the progressive accumulation of its own consequences. *Interactional continuity* arises when an individual's style evokes reciprocal, sustaining responses from others in ongoing social interaction, thereby reinstating the behavior pattern across the individual's life course whenever the relevant interactive situation is replicated. Caspi, A., Bem, D. J., & Elder, G. H., Jr. (1989). Continuities and consequences of interactional styles across the life course. *Journal of Personality*, 57, 375-406.

<sup>3</sup> See <http://www.truemirror.com/PressReleaseDec2300.asp>

President Carter switched hair parts from the right to the left.<sup>4</sup> While he still lost the election to right parting Ronald Reagan, Carter's public image with a left part has been tremendously more popular and effective. Ronald Reagan, one of the few right parting men to be perceived popularly<sup>5</sup>, has a controversial legacy that is masked by his positive, over-represented image. George H. W. Bush as a left parter was all logic and no emotions. Bill Clinton, another right parter, who while in office only had a "right emphasis", has the looks and charm to be very successful politically and can be sincerely emotional. Yet as happens frequently with right parters, he inspires intense personal opposition from his detractors. George W. Bush, left parter, is generally non-emotional and detached, and his administration reflects this. Left parters total more than 70% of his inner circle (See Appendix II).

Today, men and women who part their hair on either side dominate the political and cultural landscape. The statistics for today have changed little since 1998 when more than 85% of all elected officials at the national and governorship level were side parting<sup>6</sup>. The current Senate has 66% left parters, 21% right, and only 13% middle, and of that, only 5% is by choice - the other 8% are bald (Appendix IV). In our current presidential contest, aside from the two African-Americans, Al Sharpton and Carol Moseley Brown, the primary class was nearly all left parting men, with John Edwards the lone right parter. Even Ralph Nader parts on the left. Throughout the last 30 years, among Presidential Primary candidates, there has been a swing between left and right parting majorities, but rarely any symmetrical parters among the choices. This has not always been true in our politics, and is currently more widespread than ever in races across the country. With the advent of television, the physical visage of candidates and how they "come across" has become critical to success, and the effects of each hair part contributes greatly to a candidate's image. Witness John Edwards ability to speak from the heart (a trait of right parters), as opposed to John Kerry not being able to break out emotionally at all.

---

<sup>4</sup> Mr. Walter kept no record of the letter, although it may still exist in Carter's archives. The news accounts of the day noticed the change, but no one knew where it came from.

<sup>5</sup> Positive social acceptance of a male right hair part is difficult, and generally depends on being especially masculine and/or good-looking. If so, can be an exceptional asset. Some of the most popular cultural icons are handsome, right parting men. Cary Grant, Paul Newman, Gregory Peck, and Frank Sinatra fall into this category

<sup>6</sup> See statistical breakdown in the original paper, [www.truemirror.com/hpttmc.pdf](http://www.truemirror.com/hpttmc.pdf)

In addition to the political world, side parters likewise dominate the media. Almost all of the news anchors, reporters, punditry, and even the weathermen are side parting (See Appendix III for a small sample). Especially the pundits, whose radical points of view have become trademarks, their shows cater to the extremes – right parting Rush Limbaugh’s show is a good example. Among current national political commentators, only a few are speaking from the more balanced perspective that is common among middle or non-parting individuals.

Finally, most of the top officers in most corporations are side parting – while exact statistics require more exhaustive research, anecdotal evidence shows that the side part is extremely common.

The main difficulty with either side part is that it emphasizes one side at the expense of the other. The theory says that all side-parters are subject to a skewed “cumulative and interactional continuity” with the world, appearing consistently left or right brained biased to all viewers. This generally ensures that the person will pick up the tendencies and behaviors of that side, and often are unable to express the opposite behaviors properly.

Men who side part usually do so for entire lifetimes, and the effects are very strong, affecting personality as well as behavior. Women tend to shift back and forth, so the effects are present, but are not as strong a determinant of personality. Among all men and women who side-part (about 40-50%), the vast majority (roughly 90-95%), part on the left. This manifests as a major culture-wide bias towards left brain, logical ways of thinking, with little room for the right brain’s intuitive, feeling ways of thinking, or even more unfortunately, no room for the ways of thinking that combine the two sides.

For instance, often left parting men are very logical and assertive, but tend to lack sensitivity and sincerity. Right parting men are likely to be atypical and very creative, but tend to lack certain strengths. They usually have a unique way of relating to the world, sometimes making great careers out of it (Andy Rooney is a good example), but often being frustrated. This is because the right part is a softer, more feminine look – something that generally doesn’t work well for men (Al Gore should be much more successful than he is, and much of it relates to his public persona). Left parting women are perceived as logical and in control – Hillary Clinton

comes to mind – yet are often accused of not being feminine, a trait of the right side. In fact, most successful women in business and politics part on the left side, very few are right parters. When they are successful, they usually are atypical of women – Martha Stewart is a good example. Of the First Ladies in the last 50 years, only Eleanor Roosevelt and Nancy Reagan parted on the right side, the rest parted on the left.

The alternatives to a side part – having a middle part, no part, or being bald – is by definition an image of symmetry. It is generally perceived as neutral in the eyes of viewers – neither brain side is emphasized by a physical signal. In many ways it is stronger than the side parts, because it gives the individual the option of creating a symmetrical cumulative and interactional continuity with the world, with both sides having a say. Often harmony and strength of character can be the result, with some of the most dependable, quality individuals being middle parting. It is not sufficient however...positive personal qualities depend on the individual and their choices. However, if balance is the choice, there is ease in making and keeping harmonious relationships, an ease that is rarely given to side parting individuals.

It is actually hard to find examples of famous non-parting individuals, since the side part appears to offer clear advantages to getting ahead in the media and in politics. Paul Wellstone was a good example of the balanced personality of a non-parter, Ram Das is another good one. Most of the founding fathers had middle or no parts – the wigs they wore that generated their public, official images were generally symmetric. The best example might be Jesus, depicted always with a middle part. Even if the portraits can never be verified, imagine Jesus with a left or right part – he just wouldn't convey the same powerful, yet loving image that he has now.

Our uncertified estimate is that more than half of all people do not part their hair on the side, especially if you include the newer hairstyles. Nearly 100% of African-American men do not part their hair. In contrast, it appears that most influential people are side parting and as such are “side part biased”<sup>7</sup>. It is affecting our culture, politics, corporate life, and even religion. For instance, George W. Bush's inner circle is dominated by left parting, logic oriented

---

<sup>7</sup> Exact statistics are not available; but based on numerous samples and anecdotal evidence, we believe that less than 50% part on the side, but more than 85% of all positions of influence are occupied with side parters, and sometimes as high as 100% in certain fields.

individuals (see Appendix II). Of 50 individuals, 72% are left parting, 12% right parting and 16% are no parting (no whites with hair have symmetrical parts; of the 8 non-parters there are 4 African Americans and 4 bald men). His entire family parts on the left side. This distribution is a good explanation for the lack of feeling and emotion in the choices of the Bush administration.

With the Kerry and Edwards ticket, the country is presented with a balance between the two of them – a left parter and a right parter. Kerry brings the strength of the left part logic side, Edwards brings the emotional warmth from the right side. While in theory this is better than the dominance of the left, it still is not showing balance from a single individual. Kerry will always suffer from that lack of emotion, and Edwards may never be trusted to be the hard line President we need at times (Al Gore certainly wasn't). Also, Edwards warmth will always work for some people and not for others – just like Clinton, he will be loved by some and hated by others. The Hair Part Theory would say that Edwards should switch to the left, keeping his emotional capacity, yet creating an image that appears as strong, confident and in-charge. Jimmy Carter is an excellent example of someone who successfully switched from right to left and created a new logical image that works very well in the service of his more emotional sides. The center or no part would be the best solution, but that is probably not possible or likely in this election cycle.

### **Conclusion:**

While the current under representation of non-side-parting people in influential positions will not change anytime soon, the insights given by the Hair Part Theory can help explain and possibly influence electoral and other cultural choices in the future. If the choice is between side parting individuals, then knowledge of the tendencies of each side can help make a wise choice. The theory also posits that placing more non side-parting, symmetrically based individuals into the positions of influence and power will bring our country and world to a more harmonious, less polarized, and a functionally better place.

**# END #**

## *Appendix I: Presidents and the Hair Part Theory*

### *i) What the Hair Part Theory says about the 2004 Presidential field:*

**George Bush:** left parting, he presents himself as left brained, but often is coming from a more right brained, faith based worldview. Some of the contradiction is reduced if he is watched backwards in a mirror – with a right part he conveys the deep sincerity and passion he believes in, much more so than in real life. The persona he sees everyday in a traditional mirror is very different than his true image.

**Dick Cheney** – left parting, he is all left brained – logic, calculation and insensitivity are his hallmarks. He doesn't portray any sense of warmth and emotional tone, and is a good example of the out of balance qualities of many successful side parters.

**John Kerry** – left parting, portrays that he will be strong enough for the presidential mantle, but is struggling with reaching the people at an emotional level.

**John Edwards** – right parting – projects lots of emotion and charisma, giving Kerry a much needed emotional side. Gives a great speech, but as many right parters, is likely limited in other areas, and will probably generate strong negative feelings in his detractors. Will he survive in the back office political world of the President? His smile at the Iowa caucuses was reminiscent of Jimmy Carter's – but he might find the same difficulty Carter found once in office.

**Howard Dean** – left parting, lots of strength, sense of power and passion, but his emotional outbursts were not understandable and frightened people. An example of the not being able to portray both sides of one's self completely.

**Wesley Clark** – left parting, standard left-brain persona, also didn't connect with people on the campaign trail

**Dick Gephardt** – left parting, old school Democrat, typical male image, unable to raise the passion of the Democrats

**Joseph Lieberman** – same as Dick Gephardt, old school Democrat without passion.

**Dennis Kucinich** – left parting, with politics that are too left field for most. Did not reach people with his populist message as easily as John Edwards did.

**Bob Graham** – left parting, did not stand out in the race.

**Al Sharpton** – the only middle parting man in the race, and had some of the most interesting and realistic moments in the debates. His hair was not a major influence on his candidacy, as he has many other obvious influences. Interesting to note that he is typical of African American men – almost all have no part at all.

**Carol Moseley Brown** – the only woman, and 2<sup>nd</sup> symmetrical non-parter in the race. Has many centrist ideas, but was not able to break past the Presidential gender and race barriers.

## *Appendix I Presidents and the Hair Part Theory*

### *ii) Recent Presidents and Candidates Hair Part analysis:*

**Jimmy Carter** – wore a right part for the first half of his Presidency, and had a lot of difficulty with Congress, the economy, and eventually the hostage crisis. His fresh new approach to Washington did not mesh at all with Washington, and he failed in some critical relationships. He switched hair parts mid term (after a letter Mr. Walter wrote in 79), and has proven to be well respected, very effective left parter since leaving the Presidency.

**Ronald Reagan** – a right parter, but because of his height and looks, was very successful personally (about 10% of right parting men are extremely successful with the look, usually because of strong masculine features). Reagan appealed to the emotional side of the population, but many of his policies contradicted his sunny disposition. His recent memorial highlighted much of the image he presented, rather than the substance of his Presidency.

**George H. W. Bush** – left parter, classically left brained, all logic. His actions and policies did not have much in the way of emotional substance. He was generally out of touch with the population.

**Bill Clinton** – a right parter, although he generally just has a “right emphasis”, which takes much of the edge off of the right part. He has a lot of emotion and passion, perhaps let that get in the way of his Presidency. Generally has a very polarized public – either he is loved or reviled by people.

**Al Gore** – a classic right parter “nerd” – doesn’t have the winning smile and looks that Clinton or Reagan has, and like many right parters, has tremendous communication issues. Should have won the race, but didn’t...see our 2000 press release on this subject:

<http://www.truemirror.com/PressReleaseDec2300.asp>

**First Ladies:** Since Roosevelt’s time among the First ladies, only Eleanor Roosevelt and Nancy Reagan were right parting. Every other one is a left parter. While the hair parting effect is not as strong among women, there still is a constant left part, left brain, bias coming from the feminine part of the Presidency.

#### **2004 Presidential Candidates:**

As listed above, there were 9 of 12 (75%) left parters in the 2004 leading primary candidates. Only 1 had a right part, and the two symmetrical hair parting candidates were both African American, where symmetrical hair styles are the rule rather than the exception.

#### **2000 Elections:**

Of the 14 front runners in the 2000 Elections, 10 (71%) were left parting, 3 (20%) right and only 1 (7%) symmetric hair style (Alan Keyes, also an African American).

#### **1996 Elections:**

For these elections, the swing to right parting men was striking – compared to the typical 2-5% of the men’s population that wears a right part, fully 50% of the candidates had right parts (6/12). This general trend started with Carter, when the country was looking for an answer to Watergate and Vietnam, and peaked in 1996. This year, in comparison, there was only 1 of 12 right parting men.



### Appendix III : Hair Part Analysis of George W. Bush's Inner Circle

Name:	position:	Left Part	Right Part	Symmetric	Comment:
<b>Type: Cabinet</b>					
George W Bush	President	X			
Don Rumsfeld	Sec'y of Defense	X			
John Ashcroft	Attorney General	X			
Tom Ridge	Homeland Security	X			
Ann Venerman	Sec'y of Agriculture	X			
Don Evans	Sec'y of Commerce	X			
Gail Norton	Sec'y of Interior	X			
Colin Powell	Sec'y of State			X	African Amer
Spencer Abraham	Sec'y of Energy	X			
Norman Mineta	Sec'y of Transportation	X			
Tommy Thompson	Sec'y of Health		X		
John Snow	Sec'y of Treasury			X	bald
Rod Paige	Sec'y of Education			X	African Amer
Anthony Principi	Sec'y of Veterans Affairs	X			
Elaine Chao	Sec'y of Labor		X		
Alphonzo Jackson	Sec'y of Housing and Urban D			X	African Amer
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total 16</b>	<b>10 - 63%</b>	<b>2 - 13%</b>	<b>4 - 25%</b>	

<b>Type: Cabinet Ranking Member</b>					
Dick Cheney	Vice-president	X			
Andrew Card	President's Chief of Staf	X			
Michael Leavitt	Environmental Protection Age	X			
John Walters	Office of National Drug Contro		X		
Robert Zoelick	US Trade Representative	X			
Joshua Bolton	Office of Management and Bu	X			
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total 6</b>	<b>5 - 83%</b>	<b>1 - 17%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>	

<b>Type: C-OtherGov</b>					
Condoleeza Rice	National Security Advisor		X		
Paul Wolfowitz	Deputy Sec'y of Defense	X			
Paul Bremer	Iraq Special Envoy	X			
Robert Mueller	FBI	X			
Scott McClellan	Press Secretary	X			
Richard Perle	Defense Policy Board	X			
John Negroponte	Ambassador to Iraq			X	bald
Lewis Libby	Vice President Chief of Staff		X		
Alberto Gonzoles	White House Counsel	X			
Robert McCallum,	Assistant Attorney General	X			
William Haynes	General Counsel, Dept of Def	X			
Richard Armatage	Deputy Sec'y of State			X	bald
Gregory Mankiw	Chief Economic Advisor	X			
Michael Powell	FCC			X	African Amer
John Bolton	Under Sec'y of State		X		
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total 15</b>	<b>9 - 60%</b>	<b>3 - 20%</b>	<b>3 - 20%</b>	

Name:	position:	Left Part	Right Part	Symmetric	Comment:
<b>Type: Ex Officials</b>					
Paul Oneil	Sec'y of Treasury	X			
Christie Whitman	Environmental Protection Age	X			
Ari Fleicher	Press Secretary			X	bald
George Tenet	CIA	X			
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3 - 75%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>	<b>1 - 25%</b>

<b>Type: Family</b>					
George H. W. Bus	Father	X			
Barbara Bush	Mother	X			
Laura Bush	Wife	X			
Jeb Bush	Brother	X			
Neil Bush	Brother	X			
marvin Bush	Brother	X			
Doro Bush	Sister	X			
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7 - 100</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>

<b>Type: Other</b>					
Karen Hugues		X			
karl Rove		X		X	was left
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2 - 100</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>

---

**Grand Totals:**                      **50**                      **36 - 72%**                      **6 - 12%**                      **8 - 16%**

**Count and pct of All Side Parters**                      **42 - 84%**

**Count of non-parters who are not African American or bald**                      **0 - 0%**

# Appendix IV : Hair Part Analysis 108th Congress - Senators

Name:	position:	Left Part	Right Part	Symmetric	Comment:
<b>Type: Senator</b>					
Akaka, Daniel [LP]	Senator	X			
Alexander, Lamar - (R - TN)	Senator		X		
Allard, Wayne - (R - CO) Cl	Senator	X			
Allen, George - (R - VA) Cl	Senator	X			
Baucus, Max - (D - MT) Cla	Senator	X			
Bayh, Evan - (D - IN) Class	Senator		X		
Bennett, Robert - (R - UT)	Senator				
Biden, Joseph - (D - DE) Cl	Senator	X			
Bingaman, Jeff - (D - NM)	Senator	X			
Bond, Christopher - (R - M	Senator	X			
Boxer, Barbara - (D - CA)	Senator	X			
Breaux, John - (D - LA) Cla	Senator	X			
Brownback, Sam - (R - KS)	Senator	X			
Bunning, Jim - (R - KY) Cla	Senator		X		
Burns, Conrad - (R - MT) C	Senator	X			
Byrd, Robert - (D - WV) Cl	Senator		X		
Campbell, Ben - (R - CO)	Senator			X	has hair
Cantwell, Maria - (D - WA)	Senator	X			
Carper, Thomas - (D - DE)	Senator	X			
Chafee, Lincoln - (R - RI) C	Senator	X			
Chambliss, Saxby - (R - GA	Senator	X			
Clinton, Hillary - (D - NY) C	Senator	X			
Cochran, Thad - (R - MS)	Senator	X			
Coleman, Norm - (R - MN)	Senator			X	has hair
Collins, Susan - (R - ME) C	Senator	X			
Conrad, Kent - (D - ND) Cl	Senator	X			
Cornyn, John - (R - TX) Cla	Senator	X			
Corzine, Jon - (D - NJ) Cla	Senator	X		X	balding
Craig, Larry - (R - ID) Clas	Senator			X	bald, right emphasi
Crapo, Michael - (R - ID) Cl	Senator	X			
Daschle, Thomas - (D - SD)	Senator		X		
Dayton, Mark - (D - MN) Cl	Senator	X			
DeWine, Mike - (R - OH) Cl	Senator		X		
Dodd, Christopher - (D - CT	Senator	X			
Dole, Elizabeth - (R - NC)	Senator		X		
Domenici, Pete - (R - NM)	Senator	X			
Dorgan, Byron - (D - ND) C	Senator	X			
Durbin, Richard - (D - IL)L	Senator	X			
Edwards, John - (D - NC)	Senator		X		
Ensign, John - (R - NV) Cla	Senator		X		
Enzi, Michael - (R - WY) [L	Senator	X			
Feingold, Russell - (D - WI)	Senator		X		
Feinstein, Dianne - (D - CA)	Senator		X		
Fitzgerald, Peter - (R - IL)	Senator			X	bald
Frist, Bill - (R - TN) Class I	Senator		X		
Graham, Bob - (D - FL) Cla	Senator	X			
Graham, Lindsey - (R - SC)	Senator	X			
Grassley, Chuck - (R - IA)	Senator		X		
Gregg, Judd - (R - NH) Cla	Senator	X			
Hagel, Chuck - (R - NE) Cl	Senator	X			
Harkin, Tom - (D - IA) Clas	Senator	X			
Hatch, Orrin - (R - UT) Cla	Senator	X			
Hollings, Ernest - (D - SC)	Senator	X			

Name:	position:	Left Part	Right Part	Symmetric	Comment:
Hutchison, Kay - (R - TX)	Senator	X			
Inhofe, James - (R - OK) C	Senator	X			
Inouye, Daniel - (D - HI) CI	Senator	X			
Jeffords, James - (I - VT) C	Senator	X			
Johnson, Tim - (D - SD) CI	Senator	X			
Kennedy, Edward - (D - MA	Senator	X			
Kerry, John - (D - MA) Clas	Senator	X			
Kohl, Herb - (D - WI) Class	Senator			X	bald
Kyl, Jon - (R - AZ) Class I	Senator		X		
Landrieu, Mary - (D - LA) C	Senator	X			
Lautenberg, Frank - (D - NJ	Senator	X			
Leahy, Patrick - (D - VT) CI	Senator			X	bald
Levin, Carl - (D - MI) Class	Senator	X			
Lieberman, Joseph - (D - C	Senator	X			
Lincoln, Blanche - (D - AR)	Senator		X		
Lott, Trent - (R - MS) Class	Senator	X			
Lugar, Richard - (R - IN) CI	Senator	X			
McCain, John - (R - AZ) CI	Senator		X		
McConnell, Mitch - (R - KY)	Senator	X			
Mikulski, Barbara - (D - MD)	Senator	X			
Miller, Zell - (D - GA) Class	Senator		X		
Murkowski, Lisa - (R - AK)	Senator	X			
Murray, Patty - (D - WA) CI	Senator		X		
Nelson, Ben - (D - NE) Cla	Senator	X			
Nelson, Bill - (D - FL) Class	Senator	X			
Nickles, Don - (R - OK) Cla	Senator		X		
Pryor, Mark - (D - AR) Clas	Senator	X			
Reed, Jack - (D - RI) Class	Senator	X			
Reid, Harry - (D - NV) Clas	Senator		X		
Roberts, Pat - (R - KS) Cla	Senator			X	bald
Rockefeller, John - (D - WV	Senator	X			
Santorum, Rick - (R - PA)	Senator	X			
Sarbanes, Paul - (D - MD)	Senator			X	has hair
Schumer, Charles - (D - NY	Senator			X	has hair
Sessions, Jeff - (R - AL) CI	Senator	X			
Shelby, Richard - (R - AL)	Senator	X			
Smith, Gordon - (R - OR) C	Senator	X			
Snowe, Olympia - (R - ME)	Senator				
Specter, Arlen - (R - PA) CI	Senator			X	has hair
Stabenow, Debbie - (D - MI)	Senator			X	left emphasis
Stevens, Ted - (R - AK) CI	Senator	X			
Sununu, John - (R - NH) CI	Senator	X			
Talent, James - (R - MO) C	Senator	X			
Thomas, Craig - (R - WY)	Senator	X			
Voinovich, George - (R - O	Senator	X			
Warner, John - (R - VA) CI	Senator	X			
Wyden, Ron - (D - OR) Cla	Senator		X		

---

<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>66 - 66%</b>	<b>21 - 21%</b>	<b>13 - 13%</b>
------------------------	--------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

---

<b>Grand Totals:</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>66 -66%</b>	<b>21 - 21%</b>	<b>13 - 13%</b>
----------------------	------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------

<b>Count and pct of All Side Parters</b>	<b>87 -87%</b>
--	----------------

<b>Count and pct non parters, not bald</b>	<b>6 -6%</b>
--	--------------

**Appendix V :**  
**Hair Parts of a partial sample of current news anchors and commentators**

Name:	position:	Left Part	Right Part	Symmetric	Comment:
<b>Type: Anchor</b>					
Dan Rather	News Anchor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	recently switched t
Peter Jennings	News Anchor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ted Koppel	News Anchor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Jim Lehrer	news Anchor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Tom Brokaw	News Anchor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2 - 40%</b>	<b>3 - 60%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>

<b>Type: Commentator</b>					
Bill O'Reilly	Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Jon Stewart	TV Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	sometimes left
Al Franken	Radio Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Rush Limbaugh	Radio Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Sean Hannity	TV Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Tim Russert	TV Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Matt Drudge	Web Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ann Coulter	Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Patrick Buchanan	Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Bill Maher	Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	sometimes right
Michael Savage	Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
G. Gordon Liddy	Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	was right with hair
Oliver North	Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Laura Ingraham	Commentator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Randi Rhodes	Commentator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
William Safire	Newspaper Columnist	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6 - 38%</b>	<b>5 - 31%</b>	<b>5 - 31%</b>

<b>Type: Correspondent</b>					
Sam Donaldson	Correspondent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cokie Roberts	Correspondent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Bill Moyers	Correspondent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Counts and Pcts</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3 - 100%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>	<b>0 - 0%</b>

---

**Grand Totals:**                      **24**                      **11 - 46%**                      **8 - 33%**                      **5 - 21%**

**Count and pct of All Side Parters**                      **19 - 79%**